THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

Date: 25—08—2005

W.P. No. 18868 of 2005

Between:

M/s Bhanu Engineering Corporation,

Rep. By its Managing Director and another.

…Petitioner.

A n d

Andhra Bank, Balanagar Branch,

Rep. By its Manager, Hyderabad and others.

…Respondents.

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

AND

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V. RAMULU

W.P. No. 18868 of 2005

O R D E R: (per Sri B. Sudershan Reddy, J)

As has been rightly contended by the learned counsel for the petitioners, there is no justification to keep pending M.A. 29 of 2003 in R.P. 360 of 2001 in O.A. 1065 of 1999 without disposing of the same on its own merits.

Learned counsel Sri N. Ram Mohan Rao appeared on behalf of the respondent No.1. Since no adverse order is passed against respondent No.2, no notice is required to be issued.

Having regard to the averments made in the affidavit filed in support of the writ petition and on consideration of facts, we consider it appropriate to direct the 3rd respondent – Debts Recovery Tribunal to hear the application on 9th September 2005, to which date it is stated to have been listed for hearing. That an appropriate order shall be passed by the Tribunal after hearing the parties and no further adjournment shall be granted either of the parties.

The writ petition is accordingly disposed of.

_____

B. Sudershan Reddy, J.

_____

C.V. Ramulu, J.

Date: 25—08—2005.

MVB.